

July 8, 2013

Charge for the Strategic Planning Data Gathering Working Group

Working Group Sponsor: Strategic Planning Project Planning Group (Nancy Graff Schultz, Darin Harris, Lee Konrad, Carrie Kruse, Julie Schneider, Nancy Thayer-Hart, Ed Van Gemert)

Working Group Members: Nancy Graff Schultz (lead), Dan Jacobsohn, Sarah McDaniel, Elisabeth Owens, Laura Schmidli, Nola Walker

Working Group Lead: Nancy Graff Schultz (liaison to project planning team)

Working Group Consultants: Darin Harris and Nancy Thayer-Hart

Explanation and Background:

The strategic planning project planning team is currently engaged in phase one stakeholder assessment work. That work includes listening sessions with faculty, staff, students, campus administrators, and external partner groups. It also includes collecting web and print survey data. This initial assessment period concludes on July 19 although several listening sessions with campus administrators and graduate students will occur in late July and the data collected from those sessions will also need to be incorporated into the findings.

Working Group Charge:

The working group is asked to provide the project planning team with an impartial report that summarizes the data collected from stakeholders. The working group will gather, organize, and summarize the data using effective reporting methods for presenting the findings. The working group is strongly encouraged to consult early in its work with Darin Harris and Nancy Thayer-Hart on how best to compile, analyze, represent the data, and report its findings. The deadline for the submission of the report is Friday, August 23, 2013. This report will be used to help inform the strategic planning process.

The summary report is to include:

- Common themes emerging from stakeholder assessments, e.g. services and information resources, learning spaces, support for research and for Educational Innovation initiatives, workflow efficiencies, collaboration, partnerships and new opportunities;
- Innovative ideas emerging from stakeholder assessments warranting further exploration;
- Similarities and variances in responses within and across stakeholder groups;
- Obstacles and/or challenges perceived or experienced by respondents.